1 CONTOS & BUNCH 5855 Topanga Canyon Boulevard 2 Suite 400 Woodland Hills, California 91367-4694 3 Telephone (818) 716-9400 4 5 Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant GERALD ARMSTRONG 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 10 11 CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF CASE NO.: C 420 153 CALIFORNIA, a California 12 [Severed Action] Corporation, 13 Plaintiff, RESPONSE OF CROSS-COMPLAINANT GERALD ARMSTRONG TO REQUEST FOR 14 PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS vs. PROPOUNDED BY CROSS-DEFENDANT 15 CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF GERALD ARMSTRONG, et al., CALIFORNIA 16 Defendants. 17 18 AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS. 19 20 COMES NOW, cross-complainant Gerald Armstrong, and 21 responds to the Request for Production of Documents pro-22 pounded by cross-defendant Church of Scientology of Cali-23 fornia, and served on October 2, 1986: 24 25 Objection, said request is overbroad, vague, 1. 26 ambiguous, irrelevant and not calculated to lead to the 27 discovery of admissible evidence.

Objection, said request is overbroad, vague,

-1-

28

2.

ambiguous, irrelevant and not calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

- 3. Cross-Complainant no longer has the pamphlets, books and booklets listed in paragraph 10 of his Third Amended Cross-Complaint.
- 4. Cross-Complainant intends to request of cross-defendant that it produce the original copies of the pamphlets, books and booklets listed in paragraph 10 of his Third Amended Cross-Complaint in that these materials, which were published in the late sixties and early seventies, should be in the possession of cross-defendant.
- 5. Objection, said request is overbroad, vague, ambiguous, irrelevant and not calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 6. Objection, said request is overbroad, vague, ambiguous, irrelevant and not calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 7. Cross-Complainant does not copies of medical, dental, psychiatric and/or psychological records from 1960 to the present. Cross-Complainant will make an effort to obtain these materials and produce the same to cross-defendant.
- 8. Attached are cross-complainant's transcripts from Chilliwack Senior High and Coastline Community College, and a letter from the president of Coastline Community College dated September 12, 1983.
- 9. Objection, said request is overbroad, vague, ambiguous, irrelevant and not calculated to lead to the

discovery of admissible evidence.

- 10. Objection, said request is overbroad, vague, ambiguous, irrelevant and not calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 11. Objection, said request is overbroad, vague, ambiguous, irrelevant and not calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 12. Cross-Complainant has no tape recordings which depict the voices of any present or former members of the Church of Scientology. Cross-Complainant has already produced the tape of Jim Dincalci.
- 13. Cross-Complainant has no income tax returns for the years 1966 through 1981. The financial information regarding cross-complainant's earnings from 1971 through 1981 are in the possession of cross-defendant. Cross-Complainant is willing to produce his tax returns for 1984 and 1985 provided that they be sealed and used only in the present litigation by attorneys of record and their designated agents.
- 14. Cross-Complainant is drafting a list of such documents which will be provided to cross-defendant.
- claim referrable to the manuscript stolen from his automobile. With respect to any remaining insurance claims filed from 1980 to the present, cross-complainant objects to the request as overbroad, vague, ambiguous, irrelevant, and not calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

- 16. Objection, said request is overbroad, vague, ambiguous, irrelevant and not calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 17. Objection, said request is overbroad, vague, ambiguous, irrelevant and not calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 18. Objection, said request is vague, ambiguous, irrelevant and not calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 19. Objection, said request is overbroad, vague, ambiguous, irrelevant and not calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 20. Objection, said request is overbroad, vague, ambiguous, irrelevant and not calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
 - 21. Cross-Complainant has no such correspondence.
- 22. Cross-Complainant has no such contracts or proposed contracts.
- 23. Cross-Complainant is preparing a list of said documents which will be provided to cross-defendant.
 - 24. Cross-Complainant has no such receipts.
- 25. Attached are two Suppressive Person Declares issued by cross-defendant against cross-complainant.
- 26. Objection, said request is overbroad, vague, ambiguous, irrelevant and not calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 27. Cross-Complainant is in the process of gathering said documentation and will provide the same to

cross-defendant.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 28. Cross-Complainant is in the process of gathering said documentation and will provide the same to cross-defendant.
 - 29. Cross-Complainant has no such documents.
- 30. The chronology of events prepared by crosscomplainant and submitted as an exhibit at the underlying trial of this case; the photographs taken by crosscomplainant of the various individuals who harassed him; the fair game doctrine; the various bills for services rendered by Tin Goose which were introduced as evidence at the underlying trial of this matter; the videotapes made of cross-complainant in 1984 by agents of cross-defendant; the B-1 file on cross-complainant; correspondence to the district attorney regarding cross-complainant; the authorization signed by Officer Rodriguez to have cross-complainant investigated; the transcript of the hearing on Officer Rodriquez's conduct; the declarations of employees of Ingram stating they followed cross-complainant in London. Crosscomplainant reseerves the right to add to this list of documents.
 - 31. See response to Request No. 30, above.
 - 32. See response to Request No. 30, above.
- 33. The documents and materials presently under seal which were admitted into evidence at the first trial of this matter; portions of cross-complainant's B-1 file.
- 34. See response to Request No. 30, above. In addition, the two Suppressive Person Declares issued on

1	cross-complainant.
2	35. See response to Request No. 30, above.
3	36. See response to Request No. 30, above.
4	37. See response to Request No. 30, above.
5	38. Cross-Complainant is making a search for any
6	such documentation and will produce the same if it exists.
7	39. Objection, the appropriate method for obtain-
8	ing the identity of expert witnesses is pursuant to Cali-
9	fornia Code of Civil Procedure section 2037.
10	40. Objection, said request is overbroad, vague,
11	ambiguous, irrelevant and not calculated to lead to the
12	discovery of admissible evidence.
13	41. Cross-Complainant has no such receipts.
14	
15	DATED: October 22, 1986
16	CONTOS & BUNCH
17	() flut) ROOF
18	JULIA DRAGOJEVIC
19	Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant GERALD ARMSTRONG
20	3:7:15
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

SECONDARY GRADES IX-XIII

NAME AR	MS TRONG		Ge	rald Day	rid		54 358.	52	•					8	
				SF.E.							ATE	OF	BIRTH	/Oct/46)
MAJORS	Sc. 11	na	9	a. S.S.	1.	2	* %			T CHILLIWA	P.		U	. P. V	
SCHOOL	Churk . Ja	L	Jh.	Church St	1	J.	Philliam	San		SENIOR H	GH			Shilling Sh. Sec.	
The William St.	GRADI			ION GRADE X			SA SAE GRADE XIC			GRADE XII (4)			TOTAL		
SUBJECTS	10#	10	4	1061 1			1062 1			10 63			CREDITS	GRADE	1
	COURSE	0	c	COURSE	_	C	COURSE	10	c	COURSE:	10	C		COURSE	
eng. Language	10	A	1	38	A	5	E 30	B	5	EN 40	7.	-		ENINO	
ING. LITERATURE	10	A	15					1		EN 40		•		20 101	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				1 2	•					EN 91	A	5	*	(EN 4.)	
SOCIAL STUDIES	10	A	5	20	4	5	5590	A	5					Hira	
/# ,<, ,		1		-48.32			H: 41	R	5				25		
EFFECTIVE LIVING	10	A	15	20	1	2	PE 31	C	2	PHE 3 c	Ct	2	47		
/ 0 E = -				20	Cr	-				Gu 30	16	3	17		
MATHEMATICS	10	A	5	20	A	5	M130	R	5	Ma 41.	B	5	20	Alexander Control	
									1						
CIENCE	10	A	5	20	A	5	Ch41	189	5	Ph 91	3	5	25	81 100	
										BE 91	C+	5	23	Ph 101	
		_													
/4		1			·			_					·		
ANGUAGE	10	B	15	20	A	5	Frqi	A	5	FR 92	W	_		FRIE	
		₩-									-	_			
MOULTURE		+	\vdash					-			\vdash	_			
WRICULIURE		+	-					 	-		-	_			-
RT		+	H					-			+-	-			\vdash
		-	-					 	-		+-	-			+-+
RAMA	•	-						-			+	-			++
		-						-			-	-			-+
IUSIC	10	B	7	-				-			+	-			\vdash
		U	2					 			+	-			+-+
OME ECONOMICS				-							+-	-			+-+
								-			-	-			++
		1						-			+-				1-+
DUSTRIAL ARTS											+	-			
											+-	\vdash			+
											+	\vdash			
MMERCE.											1				1
															1
										A	1				
											1				
1 -															
			\Box												
				·											
MI MINES CHINES	15/2		35	١ ١				<u> </u>	32	_		15			
	13 /2			85			1艺			19				94	1
REMARKS	C P		(4)			3 214	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE					5-		ice with	

Date Chilliwack School District No. 33



11460 WARNER AVENUE, FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CA 92708-2597

John L. Buller, President

(714) 546-7600

SEPTEMBER 12, 1983

MR. GERALD D. ARMSTRONG 1991 NEWPORT BOULEVARD, #36 COSTA MESA, CA 92627

DEAR MR. ARMSTRONG:

PLEASE ACCEPT THE CONGRATULATIONS OF THE COASTLINE COMMUNITY COLLEGE STAFF FOR COMPLETING THE REQUIREMENTS NECESSARY TO ACHIEVE THE PRESIDENT'S LIST AT THE COMPLETION OF THE SPRING SEMESTER 1983. THIS ACHIEVEMENT RECOGNIZES COMPLETION OF AT LEAST 12 UNITS DURING A CONSECUTIVE TWO-SEMESTER PERIOD, WHILE MAINTAINING A 3.75 GRADE POINT AVERAGE OR BETTER. YOU WERE ONE OF ONLY 128 INDIVIDUALS OUT OF A STUDENT BODY OF OVER 19,000 WHO ACHIEVED THIS STATUS.

THE ATTAINMENT OF ACADEMIC HONORS AT COASTLINE COMMUNITY COLLEGE IS ESPECIALLY IMPRESSIVE IN THAT MOST OF OUR STUDENTS ARE NOT ATTENDING FULL TIME AND ARE ENGAGED IN NEW APPROACHES TO LEARNING, INCLUDING TELECOURSES, EXPERIENTIAL CREDIT, AND NON-CLASSROOM COURSES. YOUR SUCCESS AT COASTLINE COMMUNITY COLLEGE IS DUE TO YOUR EFFORT AND COMMITMENT.

MAY I WISH YOU CONTINUED SUCCESS IN YOUR ACADEMIC PURSUITS AS YOU PROGRESS TOWARD YOUR COLLEGIATE GOALS.

SINCERELY,

JOHN L. BULLEI

Buller

JLB/GI

Robert L. Humphreys

IN VALLEY, CALIFORNIA			OMMUNITY	COLLEGE		OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT
-36634 ARMSTRONG GERALD D		15	18 46	CA	PLACI NADA	E OF BIRTH DATE OF COASTLINE COMMUNITY COLLEGE GRADUATION
DURSE NAME	COURSE DESCRIPTION	GPA	UNITS	GRADE	GRADE	HIGH SCHOOL LAST ATTENDED
FALL		UNITS	EARNED		POINTS	
300 314	INTRO TO L A CORP/R E LAW	03.0		A A	12.0	CITY AND STATE
	CURRENT TOTAL CUMULATIVE TOTAL	06.0 06.0	1	4.00 4.00	024.0	YEAR LAST ATTENDED OR GRADUATED 64
SPRING NGL 100 A 302	SEMESTER 1982-83 ENGLISH COMP LEGAL RESEARCH	03.0		A A GPA	12.0	COLON FIRM AC MINICATED COLON
2-36634	CURRENT TOTAL CUMULATIVE TOTAL	06.0		4 00	24.0 48.0	
FALL US 110 NGL 132	SEMESTER 1983-84 BUSINESS LAW 1 PROF WRITING			H GPA	Por	GROUP B SOC SCI 40 UNITS GROUP C BAS SUB
2-36634	CURRENT TOTAL CUMULATIVE TOTAL	00.0	and the second second second	4.00	00.0	
	>		·	-	÷	TRANSCRIPT SENT TO: STUDENT COPY MAY 2 7 1986 SELF MAY 2 7 1986
						EXPLANATION OF MARKS GRADE POINTS GRADE POINTS A - EXCELLENT 4 C - SATISFACTORY 2 B - GOOD 3 D - PASSING 1 F - FAILING 0 MARKS LISTED BELOW ARE NOT PART OF THE GPA COMPUTATION. CR - CREDIT I - INCOMPLETE NC - NO CREDIT IP - IN PROGRESS NG - NON-GRADED, NO W - WITHDRAWAL UNITS CLASS RD - REPORT DELAYED THIS IS A TRUE STATEMENT OF THE OFFICIAL RECORD. ENTITILED TO HONORABLE ACADEMIC DISMISSAL UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED.

SEA ORGANIZATION

FLAG CONDITIONS ORDER G664R

18 February 1982 Revised 22.4.82

SUPPRESSIVE PERSON DECLARE

GERRY ARMSTRONG

GERRY ARMSTRONG, now of Costa Mesa, California, is hereby DECLARED A SUPPRESSIVE PERSON according to HCO PL 7 Dec 76 LEAVING AND LEAVES:

"Where a person is secretly planning to leave and making private preparations to do so without informing the proper terminals in an org and does leave (blow) and does not return within a reasonable length of time an automatic Declare is to be issued."

Gerry took an unauthorized leave in December 1981 and has refused to return and route out properly although he has been contacted and repeatedly requested to do so.

Since Gerry was declared on 18 Feb 1982, reports have been made that also bring the following charges against him for Crimes and High Crimes and Suppressive Acts against the Church:

- 1. THEFT.
- 2. ILLEGALLY TAKING OR POSSESSING CHURCH PROPERTY.
- 3. RESELLING ORG MATERIEL FOR PRIVATE GAIN.
- 4. IMPERSONATING A SCIENTOLOGIST OR STAFF MEMBER WHEN NOT AUTHORIZED.
- 5. FALSIFYING REPORTS.
- MAKING OUT OR SUBMITTING OR ACCEPTING FALSE PURCHASE ORDERS.
- 7. JUGGLING ACCOUNTS.
- 8. OBTAINING LOANS OR MONEY UNDER FALSE PRETENSES.
- 9. ISSUING THE DATA OR INFORMATION OR INSTRUCTIONAL OR ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES WITHOUT CREDIT OR FALSELY ASSIGNING CREDIT FOR THEM TO ANOTHER.
- 10. ENGAGING IN MALICIOUS RUMOUR-MONGERING TO DESTROY THE AUTHORITY OR REPUTE OF HIGHER OFFICERS OR THE LEADING NAMES OF SCIENTOLOGY OR TO "SAFEGUARD" A POSITION.
- 11. SEEKING TO SPLINTER OFF AN AREA OF SCIENTOLOGY AND DENY IT PROPERL. CONSTITUTED AUTHORITY FOR PERSONAL PROFIT, PERSONAL POWER OR "TO SAVE THE ORGANIZATION FROM THE HIGHER OFFICERS OF SCIENTOLOGY."
- 12. PRONOUNCING SCIENTOLOGISTS GUILTY OF THE PRACTICE OF STANDARD SCIENTOLOGY.
- 13. WILLFUL LOSS OR DESTRUCTION OF CHURCH PROPERTY.
- 14. HOLDING SCIENTOLOGY NATERIALS OR POLICIES UP TO RIDICULE, CONTEMPT OR SCORN.
- 15. SPREADING DESTRUCTIVE RUMOURS ABOUT SENIOR SCIENTOLOGISTS.
- 16. PRETENDING TO EXPRESS A MULTIPLE OPINION (USE OF "EVERYBODY") IN VITAL REPORTS.
- 17. BEING A KNOWING ACCESSORY TO A SUPPRESSIVE ACT.
- 18. FAILURE TO HANDLE OR DISAVOW AND DISCONNECT FROM A PERSON DEMONSTRABLY GUILTY OF SUPPRESSIVE ACTS.

Since Gerry Armstrong left the Church, he has falsely represented himself to others and said he was on a "secret mission." Representing himself then as a staff member, he then misrepresented the actions of the Church and its members to others. Gerry has chronically misrepresented himself and others.

.)

He has spoken out for LSD and LSD-proponent Timothy Leary knowing full well that such illicit drugs are strictly prohibited by the Church and that Church Founder L. Ron Hubbard has written against their usage or promotion due to their destructive nature. Knowing that LRH also has researched and written how to combat the effects of these illicit drugs, Gerry Armstrong has degraded LRH's research and prefers to promote the research of Timothy Leary.

Gerry has taken and sought to sell Church property. He has also failed to return Church property he obtained. He also falsified his Church financial records by failing to state the nature of the intended purchases and failing to spend the money for the approved items. Record. by him show purchases for personal items as well as covering the expenses of non-Church companies.

Gerry was also found to be promulgating false information about the Church, its Founder and members. He used his position to create and transmit erroneous information under the guise of "documentation." Altered documents have been found in his area.

Should Gerry Armstrong come to his senses and wish to recant, he should apply steps A-E of HCO PL 16 May 80 III ETHICS, SUPPRESSIVE ACTS, SUPPRESSION OF SCIENTOLOGY AND SCIENTOLOGISTS. Should he fail to apply these steps he will be expelled from the Church and be debarred. Should he claim the label or the charges to be false, he may request a Committe of Evidence per the above referenced policy.

His only terminal is the Continental Justice Chief PAC.

PO1 Paul Laquerre Int Justice Chief

Authorized by AVC

for the

CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL

CSI: AVC: PL: bk

SEA ORGANIZATION

FLAG CONDITIONS ORDER 6664

18 February 1982

SUPPRESSIVE PERSON DECLARE

GERRY ARMSTRONG

GERRY ARMSTRONG of California is hereby declared a SUPPRESSIVE PERSON for the following Crimes and High Crimes.

- 1. Violation of HCO PL 7 December 1976 LEAVING AND LEAVES, which states: "Where a person is secretly planning to leave and making private preparations to do so without informing the proper terminals in an org and does leave (blow) and does not return within a reasonable length of time an automatic Declare is to be issued."
- 2. Spreading destructive rumours about senior Scientologists.
- Announcing departure from Scientology (but not by reason of leaving an organization, a location or situation or death).

Gerry took an unauthorized leave at Christmas and has refused to return and route out properly, although he has been contacted and requested to do so. Following his blow he has made statements to the effect that he is no longer a Scientologist and that the tech does not work. He has also made derogatory statements to three staff members concerning a Senior Scientologist. Gerry has also stated that people should leave Scientology.

Should Gerry come to his senses and wish to recant, he is to apply steps A-E of HCO PL 16 May 80 Iss III ETHICS, SUPPRESSIVE ACTS, SUPPRESSION OF SCIENTOLOGY AND SCIENTOLOGISTS. Should he fail to apply these steps he will be expelled from the Church of Scientology and debarred from Advanced Courses. Should he claim the label to be false, he may request a Committee of Evidence, per the above referenced policy.

His only terminal is the Continental Justice Chief PAC.

PO1 Paul Laquerre
International Justice Chief
Authorized by
AVC
for the
CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY
INTERNATIONAL

CSI: MG: PL: pd

		*
MASSACHUSETTS	VERIFICATION	
STATE OF XXXIII XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		
I have read the foregoing RESPONS	E OF CROSS-COMPLAINANT,	GERALD ARMSTRONG TO
REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF	OCCUMENTS PROPOUNDED BY	and know its contents.
	IECK APPLICABLE PARAGRAPH	
— and a party to this action. The matter	s stated in the foregoing document are tr	rue of my own knowledge except as to
those matters which are stated on informati	on and belief, and as to those matters I	believe them to be true.
☐ I am ☐ an Officer ☐ a partner	a	of
	on that ground allege that the matters document are true of my own knowledge those matters I believe them to be true. Tom the county of aforesaid where such at arty for that reason. I am informed and let are true. 1986 at Boston, Massac leaws of the State of California that the elaws of the State of California that the EDGMENT OF RECEIPT OF DOCUMENT than summons and complaint)	stated in the foregoing document are the except as to those matters which are the except as to those matters which are the except as to those matters which are those the except as to those matters which are the except as the e
described as		
on19		
Type or Print Name		
Type of Trint Name	PROOF OF SERVICE	Signature
	TROOF OF SERVICE	
STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF	LOS ANGELES	
I am employed in the county of LOS	ANGELES	, State of California.
I am over the age of 18 and not a party to t	he within action: my business address is:	5855 Topanga Canyon
biva., Ste.400, Woodland	Hills (A 91367	
On Oct. 22 1986, I served the	e foregoing document described as RE	ESPONSE OF CROSS-
PROPOUNDED BY CROSS-DEFEND	ANT CHIRCH OF SCIENTOR	OCY OF CALLEDNIA
	on the parties	herein
in this action by placing a true converted		
in this action by placing a true copy thereof	enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed a	as follows:
onald C. Randolph, Esq.	John G. Peterson, Esq.	Robert Geller, Esa.
ERLAND, BERKE, WESLEY, GITS,	PETERSON & BRYNAN	GELLER & WEINBERG
RANDOLPH & LEVANAS	8530 Wilshire Blvd. #407	80 Boylston Street
66 Overland Ave., 7th Floor	Beverly Hills CA 90211	Boston, Massachusetts 02
s Angeles CA 90064		
ADV MANY		
(BY MAIL) I caused such envelope w	vith postage thereon fully prepaid to b	e placed in the United States mail
atWoodland Hills, California. Executed on October 22		vv. 11 -
	1986, at Woodland	California.
Executed on	uch envelope to be delivered by hand to	the offices of the addressee.
	, 17, dl	, California.

X (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was (Federal) made.

PAMELA J. RUCKER

Type or Print Name

STUART'S EXBROOK TIMESAVER (REVISED 6/83) (May be used in California State or Federal Courts)